REMARKS

In an Examiner's Amendment (which accompanied the Notice of Allowance), the Examiner amended independent claims 39, 43 and 47 to append the same language, "based on a response of the user to the request, selectively communicating the key to a browser of the second computer system," to the end of these claims. Although this language is consistent with the other language of independent claims 39 and 43, the roles of the "first" and "second" computers are reversed in claim 47 (as compared to independent claims 39 and 43).

In this manner, each of independent claims 39 and 43 recites selectively communicating a key to a browser of a computer system (the "second" computer system for these claims) that provides a visual interface to notify a user of the computer system of an identification request and prompt the user to allow or deny the request. Although this "computer system" is the "second computer system" of claims 39 and 43, in independent claim 47, this computer is the "first computer". *See, for example*, lines 7 and 8 of claim 47, which recites, "in response to the request, provide a visual interface on the first computer to notify both a user of the first computer of the request and prompt the user to allow or deny the request." Thus, Applicant submits that the intended Examiner's amendment to independent claim 47 was, "based on a response of the user to the request, selectively communicating the key to a browser of the first computer." It is noted that the recitation of "first computer" (and not "first computer system") is proper due to first and second computers appearing in claim 47 (instead of first and second computer systems).

Thus, the § 312 amendment is needed to correct a clear typographical-type error in the Examiner's amendment and requires no additional search or examination. Independent claim 47 as amended is still patentable for the same reasons; and the amendment was not earlier presented due to inclusion of the Examiner's Amendment with the Notice of Allowance. Moreover, on page two of the Notice of Allowance, the Examiner states, "should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312." Applicant has therefore undertaken the suggested action.

In view of these remarks, the application is now in condition for allowance, and the Examiner's prompt action in accordance therewith is respectfully requested. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 20-1504 (ITL.0160US).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 29, 2010

Ered G. Pruner, Jr., Reg. No. 40,779

TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.

1616 S. Voss Road, Suite 150

Houston, TX 77057 713/468-8880 [Phone] 713/468-8883 [Fax]